

\*\*\* NOTE: TO RETURN TO THIS PAGE, CLICK ON THE COUNTY SEAL \*\*\*

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO's REPORT DATED JUNE 17, 2010](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO's REPORT DATED JULY 30, 2010](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO's REPORT DATED AUGUST 6, 2010](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO's REPORT DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 2010](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO's REPORT DATED SEPTEMBER 9, 2010](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO's REPORT DATED OCTOBER 7, 2010](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO's REPORT DATED DECEMBER 8, 2010](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO'S REPORT DATED MAY 2, 2011](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO'S REPORT DATED SEPTEMBER 6, 2012](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO'S REPORT DATED JULY 24, 2013](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CEO'S REPORT DATED OCTOBER 22, 2013](#)



# County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

June 17, 2010

To: Supervisor Gloria Molina, Chair  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "WTF", written over a horizontal line.

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

## STATUS REPORT ON CONTRACTS AND INVESTMENTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES

On June 1, 2010, your Board adopted a motion as recommended by Supervisors Molina and Yaroslavsky, to oppose Arizona's Senate Bill (SB) 1070 related to enforcement of federal immigration laws. Included in this multi-part motion, your Board directed the following:

- Direct the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to suspend all travel to the State of Arizona for the conduct of County business unless the CEO finds that the failure to authorize such travel would seriously harm the County's interests [part 2 of this motion];
- Direct the CEO and the Treasurer and Tax Collector (TTC) to review all County investments in Arizona securities such as State or municipal bonds; and, to the extent practicable and in accordance with their fiduciary duties, adopt policies of divestment and future non-investment in such Arizona securities [part 3 of this motion];
- Direct the CEO in consultation with County Counsel, to review the terms of all existing contracts with Arizona-based or headquartered companies and report to the Board in two weeks on how and within what time frame these contracts may be legally terminated, without causing undue harm to the County's interests [part 4 of this motion]; and

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**

- Direct County Counsel, the CEO, and the Director of Internal Services (ISD) to report to the Board in two weeks with recommendations as to further Board action, including ordinance amendments, if any, deemed necessary to fully effectuate this resolution [part 8 of this motion, third sentence].

#### Current Actions

Our Office issued a memo to all department heads stating effective immediately, departments must suspend any County business travel to the State of Arizona unless authorized by this Office under the terms of the Board motion. The memo further instructs County departments to refrain from entering into new or amended contracts to purchase goods or services from any company based or headquartered in Arizona. Exceptions to this restriction may include situations that are legally impermissible or impractical, result in significant additional cost to the County, or are pre-approved by this Office.

The TTC reviewed the County's investments and reported there are no investments in Arizona securities. In addition, TTC will refrain from investing in Arizona securities until the suspension or repeal of SB 1070.

Your Board has been provided with a summary of eCAPS accounting data to identify County payments to Arizona addresses during the last five fiscal years. Although contractors' remittance processing addresses may differ from their corporate headquarters, this data was used as a starting point to identify contractors that are headquartered in Arizona.

Because each County department manages its own service contracts, we provided departments with lists of their payments to Arizona addresses, and asked them to identify the following information:

- The current status of each agreement (e.g., active or inactive).
- The type of agreement (e.g., Board contract, spot purchase order, etc.).
- Whether the contractor is based or headquartered in Arizona.
- Any applicable contract termination provision(s).
- The operational and/or financial impact of termination.

To date, we have received complete survey responses from 27 of 34 affected County departments. We are continuing to work with the remaining departments to resolve questions and ensure completion of this survey.

Each Supervisor  
June 17, 2010  
Page 3

Based on departmental information received to date, we have preliminarily identified 17 County contracts with businesses that are based or headquartered in Arizona. As we identify County contractors who are based or headquartered in Arizona, the relevant documents will be referred to County Counsel for detailed review and reporting to your Board.

#### Next Steps

We will report back to your Board on the following actions within the next 45 days:

1. We continue to work with the remaining County departments to complete the information requested by the survey. After completing the survey, each department will need to forward copies of contracts identified as involving Arizona-based businesses to County Counsel for review.
2. To determine if there are additional Arizona-based contractors that have not been identified, departments are required to research other active contracts to identify Arizona-based vendors, and provide those contracts to County Counsel for review. We are evaluating electronic search capabilities to limit the scope of this review.
3. Pursuant to the Board's action, County Counsel continues to look at how best to evaluate the process for termination of contracts and/or not awarding contracts to businesses based or headquartered in Arizona, given the principles guiding contracting by public entities. As part of this review, County Counsel is discussing the options and attendant legal issues with attorneys in other jurisdictions which have enacted similar initiatives, such as the City of Los Angeles. Those recommendations will be presented to your Board under a separate memorandum.

If you have any questions, please have your staff contact Ellen Sandt, Deputy Chief Executive Officer at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:ES:TT  
JJ:GS:LG:cg

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors  
County Counsel  
Internal Services  
Treasurer and Tax Collector



WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

## County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

July 30, 2010

To: Supervisor Gloria Molina, Chair  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "W. T. Fujioka", written over a horizontal line.

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

### **STATUS REPORT NO. 2 ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES (ITEM S-1, AGENDA OF JUNE 1, 2010)**

On June 1, 2010, your Board adopted a motion, as recommended by Supervisors Molina and Yaroslavsky, to oppose Arizona law Senate Bill (SB) 1070 related to enforcement of federal immigration laws. Included in this multi-part motion, your Board directed the following:

- Direct the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), in consultation with County Counsel, to review the terms of all existing contracts with Arizona-based or headquartered companies and report to the Board in two weeks on how and within what time frame these contracts may be legally terminated, without causing undue harm to the County's interests; and
- Direct County Counsel, the CEO, and the Internal Services Department (ISD) to report to the Board in two weeks with recommendations as to further Board action, including ordinance amendments, if any, deemed necessary to fully effectuate this resolution.

On June 17, 2010, we provided an initial status report to your Board regarding the work that was in progress to respond to these directives, with a follow-up status report to be provided to your Board within 45 days. This status report describes: (1) the current litigation status in U.S. District Court, and (2) the remaining action items pertaining to the motion that continue to be in process.

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**

### Status of Current Litigation on SB 1070

On July 22, 2010, oral arguments were heard in United States District Court for the District of Arizona on the federal government's Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Motion) to enjoin Arizona from enforcing SB 1070 until the Court can make a final determination as to its constitutionality.

The federal government's principal argument focused on the fact that the power to regulate immigration is vested exclusively in the federal government, and that the provisions of SB 1070 are therefore pre-empted by federal law. The federal government further argued that if the Motion is not granted and SB 1070 is allowed to go into effect, it would cause the United States irreparable harm.

On July 28, 2010, the Court issued an order partially granting the Motion effectively keeping the most controversial provisions of SB 1070 from taking effect, including those that (i) called for police officers to check a person's immigration status while enforcing other laws, (ii) required immigrants to apply for and carry alien registration papers, (iii) created a crime for an unauthorized alien to solicit, apply for, or perform work, and (iv) authorized the warrantless arrest of a person where there is probable cause to believe the person has committed a public offense that makes the person removable from the United States.

County Counsel indicated that they had released a separate memo to your Board on July 28, 2010, which summarized the specific impact on the various provisions of SB 1070 (including those provisions that were not enjoined under the Order and which took effect on July 29, 2010).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, it is expected that Arizona will appeal the Order to the 9<sup>th</sup> U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals. Therefore, the ultimate breadth and duration of the Preliminary Injunction issued under the Order is uncertain, and County Counsel will continue to monitor the status of such litigation and report to your Board any further developments as they occur.

### Departmental Review of Contracts with Arizona-based Businesses

On June 25, 2010, this Office issued instructions to departments to identify the exemption criteria and the process for departments to seek exemptions (Attachment). Departments were instructed to coordinate the review of the applicable contracts with their respective Deputy County Counsels, and to forward their justifications for exemption to their CEO budget analysts for review and approval of the exemption.

This Office is continuing to work with departments to finalize the following lists of Arizona-based businesses:

- Contracts to be considered for termination with prior written notice to contractor. Terminating such contracts would not appear to result in a significant negative operational or financial impact to the County. County Counsel continues to provide assistance to departments in their reviews of Arizona-based contractors.
- Contracts that have been identified as qualifying for an exemption from the Board's directive to terminate. This determination is based on the criteria identified in the June 25, 2010, memo, and on justifications provided by departments.

#### Additional Departmental Research on Arizona-based Businesses

As referenced in our June 17, 2010, status report, eCAPS payment data does not identify Arizona-based businesses that have remittance addresses outside of Arizona. Thus, to determine if there are additional Arizona-based businesses with County contracts that have not yet been identified, departments have been required to research their active contracts to identify Arizona-based vendors and to forward those contracts to County Counsel for review. Although departments were instructed to complete their review by July 15, 2010, based on the large volume of contracts in several County departments, this research and review remains ongoing.

#### Termination of Contracts with Arizona-based Businesses

County Counsel continues to review how best to proceed with the termination of contracts with businesses based or headquartered in Arizona, as well as the evaluation of bids received from Arizona-based businesses in response to open County contract solicitations, given the principles guiding contracting by public entities.

Your Board's June 1, 2010, motion indicated that the Board's "directive shall be lifted upon the suspension or repeal of SB 1070." Given the court's preliminary injunction to prevent Arizona from implementing major portions of SB 1070, this Office will hold in abeyance the termination process for Arizona-based contracts pending a legal resolution of this matter.

### Recommendations for Further Board Action

We have been directed to report to your Board with recommendations as to further Board action, including ordinance amendments, if any, deemed necessary to fully effectuate the Board's motion regarding SB 1070.

Given the ongoing litigation, we believe that the adoption or amendment of a formal County ordinance is neither required nor necessarily appropriate, since the Board motion and its directives may be temporary in nature – that is, they will only remain in effect until the suspension or repeal of SB 1070, at which point they will terminate.

In light of this fact, it appears that your Board's adoption of the June 1, 2010, motion is the appropriate manner for the Board to express its opinions regarding Arizona's passage of SB 1070. The adoption of a formal County ordinance to effectuate the same is not recommended given the ongoing legal process.

### Next Steps

We will report back to your Board on the following items within the next 30 days:

1. An updated status of current federal litigation related to SB 1070.
2. The implementation process for the possible cancellation of contracts with Arizona-based businesses, to be held in abeyance pending the resolution of federal litigation related to SB 1070.
3. The status of ongoing reviews of Arizona-based contractors, termination clauses, and the operational or financial impacts of canceling the contracts.

If you have any questions, or require further information on this matter, please contact Ellen Sandt, Deputy Chief Executive Officer at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:BC:ES  
TT:GS:cg

Attachment

c: County Counsel  
Executive Office, Board of Supervisors  
Internal Services





# County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

August 6, 2010

To: Supervisor Gloria Molina, Chair  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "W. T. Fujioka", is written over the printed name of the Chief Executive Officer.

## **MONTHLY REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES – JULY 2010**

On June 1, 2010, your Board adopted a motion as recommended by Supervisors Molina and Yaroslavsky, to oppose Arizona's Senate Bill 1070 related to enforcement of federal immigration laws. Included in this multi-part motion, your Board directed the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), in consultation with County Counsel, to review the terms of all existing contracts with Arizona-based or headquartered companies to determine how, and within what time frame, these contracts may be legally terminated, without causing undue harm to the County's interests.

On June 24, 2010, this Office issued a memo to your Board, identifying criteria that we will use when assessing whether or not the County will make an exemption to the Board's action.

On June 25, 2010, this Office issued instructions to departments to identify the exemption criteria and the process for departments to seek exemptions. Departments were instructed to coordinate the review of the applicable contracts with their respective Deputy County Counsels, and to forward their justifications for exemption to their CEO budget analysts for review and approval of the exemption.

As stated in our June 24, 2010 memo, this Office will report on any Arizona-based contracts or purchase orders that were exempted or cancelled in the form of a monthly report. Attached is a list of contracts and purchase orders which met the criteria for an exemption during the month of July 2010. In such cases when one vendor provides

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**

Each Supervisor  
August 6, 2010  
Page 2

services and supplies to more than one department, the exemption applies to all of the user departments.

If you have any questions, please have your staff contact Ellen Sandt, Deputy Chief Executive Officer at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov) .

WTF:BC:ES  
GS:LG:cg

Attachment

c: County Counsel  
Executive Office, Board of Supervisors

## Exemptions For Arizona-Based Contracts -- July 2010

<i>Department</i>	<i>Name of Contract</i>	<i>Services Provided or Supplies Purchased</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Reason(s) for Exemption</i>
<b>Arts Commission</b>	<b>AI Price LLC</b>	Fabrication of artwork for 4th Avenue Community Park.	Approval of new contract	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County. Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies.
<b>Chief Information Office</b>	<b>Lumension Security, Inc.</b>	Patch management to implement critical software maintenance updates across multiple operating systems and third-party vendor applications.	Purchase Order	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County.
<b>Internal Services</b> <b>User Depts: Health Services and Public Health</b>	<b>Sunquest Information Systems</b>	Provides laboratory support, maintenance support systems to Health Services and Public Health regulatory agencies including the State and FBI.	Approval of new contract	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County. The contractor was specifically designated or named under a State or federal grant, contract, agreement MOU, or funding stream and the County lacks the discretion to remove funding from the contractor.
<b>Internal Services</b> <b>User Dept: Sheriff</b>	<b>Knowledge Computing Corp.</b>	Provides a means to share data between all LA County agencies within the State, and nationally (Coplink).	Approval of new contract	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended.
<b>Internal Services</b>	<b>Ventana Medical Systems</b>	Provides re-agents and detection kits for the existing Benchmark XT innunostainer equipment.	Approval of new contract	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County.
<b>Internal Services</b>	<b>Lombard Medical Technologies</b>	Provides Endovascular Stent Grafts.	Approval of new contract	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County.
<b>Internal Services</b>	<b>Western Emulsion</b>	Provides emulsified asphalt.	Approval of new contract	Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended. The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County.

## Exemptions For Arizona-Based Contracts -- July 2010

<b>Department</b>	<b>Name of Contract</b>	<b>Services Provided or Supplies Purchased</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Reason(s) for Exemption</b>
<b>Internal Services</b>  <b>POs for: Coroner and Public Health</b>	<b>Mobile Mini LLC</b>	Provides storage containers.	Purchase Order	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended. Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies.
<b>Probation</b>	<b>Automon</b>	Maintenance Support and On-Site repair for 15 Kiosk Report In Systems used by Probation Adult Field Services Bureau (AFSB) as an alternative method for probationers to report to their Deputy Probation Officer.	Extension of existing contract	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County. Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies.
<b>Sheriff</b>	<b>Taser International</b>	This company provides the department with specialized police electronic devices and repair services.	Repair, Warranty and Purchase Orders	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies. If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended.
<b>Sheriff</b>	<b>Uniforms Manufacturing, Inc.</b>	This company provides the department Thermal Underwear (shirts) for inmates.	Purchase order	Other legal requirement relating to the procurement, selection or administration of the contract. Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies.
<b>Sheriff</b>	<b>Specialized Armament Warehouse</b>	This company is the only law enforcement distributor in the US for Colt factory replacement parts.	Purchase order	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies. If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care.
<b>DCFS</b>	<b>Child Help USA</b>	Child Welfare services including child abuse prevention, and sexual abuse counseling. Child Help operates four Rate Classification level (RCL) 12 group homes for a potential capacity of 102 children, and two FFA offices with a capacity of 139 children.	Extension of existing contract	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County. Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended.

## Exemptions For Arizona-Based Contracts -- July 2010

<b>Department</b>	<b>Name of Contract</b>	<b>Services Provided or Supplies Purchased</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Reason(s) for Exemption</b>
<b>Public Works</b>	<b>Athens-Woodcrest-Olivita Garbage Disposal District</b>	Trash collection and recycling services.	New Contract	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. Contract is required by law to be awarded to the lowest priced, responsible, and responsive bidder. Additional cost, time and resources are required to resolicit the services or supplies.
<b>Public Works</b>	<b>Firestone Garbage Disposal District</b>	Trash collection and recycling services.	New Contract	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. Contract is required by law to be awarded to the lowest priced, responsible, and responsive bidder. Additional cost, time and resources are required to resolicit the services or supplies.
<b>Public Works</b>	<b>Walnut Park Garbage Disposal District</b>	Trash collection and recycling services.	New Contract	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. Contract is required by law to be awarded to the lowest priced, responsible, and responsive bidder. Additional cost, time, and resources are required to resolicit the services or supplies.
<b>Public Works</b>	<b>Santa Anita Dam and Reservoir Sediment Removal and Riser Modification</b>	This is a construction contract to remove sediment from the Santa Anita Reservoir and modify the existing outlet riser to meet State dam safety requirements.	New Contract	Contract is required by law to be awarded to the lowest priced, responsible, and responsive bidder.
<b>Health Services</b>	<b>Commission on Accreditation</b>	This vendor is the commission on accreditation for rehabilitation facilities (CARF); necessary for rehabilitation hospital.	Annual renewal approval	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies.
<b>Health Services</b>	<b>Bio-Concepts, Inc.</b>	Provides burn scar management.	Extension of existing contract	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care. The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County.
<b>Mental Health</b>	<b>Out-of-State Child Placement Mental Health Services Agreement</b>	Devereaux Arizona Treatment Network provides residential placement for severely and persistently mentally ill youth and emotionally disturbed children and assessed by DMH as requiring mental health services and residential placement, but for whom placements in California cannot be found.	The contract was renewed on 7/1/10 with CEO and County Counsel approval	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care.



County of Los Angeles  
**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE**

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

September 1, 2010

To: Supervisor Gloria Molina, Chair  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

**THIRD STATUS REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES  
(ITEM S-1, AGENDA OF JUNE 1, 2010)**

On June 1, 2010, your Board adopted a motion, as recommended by Supervisors Molina and Yaroslavsky, to oppose Arizona law Senate Bill (SB) 1070 related to enforcement of federal immigration laws. Included in this multi-part motion, your Board directed the following:

- Direct the Chief Executive Office (CEO), in consultation with County Counsel, to review the terms of all existing contracts with Arizona-based or headquartered companies and report to the Board in two weeks on how and within what time frame these contracts may be legally terminated, without causing undue harm to the County's interests; and
- Direct County Counsel, the CEO, and the Internal Services Department (ISD) to report to the Board in two weeks with recommendations as to further Board action, including ordinance amendments, if any, deemed necessary to fully effectuate this resolution.

On June 17 and July 30, 2010, we provided status reports to your Board regarding the work that was in progress to respond to these directives. This third status report describes: (1) the current litigation status in U.S. District Court, and (2) the remaining action items pertaining to the motion.

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**

#### Status of Current Litigation on SB 1070

As reported in our July 30, 2010, status report, the court issued an order on July 28, 2010, partially granting the Motion effectively keeping the most controversial provisions of SB 1070 from taking effect, including those that (1) call for police officers to check a person's immigration status while enforcing other laws, (2) require immigrants to apply for, and carry, alien registration papers, (3) create a crime for an unauthorized alien to solicit, apply for, or perform work, and (4) authorize the warrantless arrest of a person where there is probable cause to believe the person has committed a public offense that makes the person removable from the United States.

On July 29, 2010, Arizona filed an interlocutory appeal of the July 28, 2010, decision in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. On July 30, 2010, the Ninth Circuit denied Arizona's request to expedite this case beyond the normal rules governing appeals of preliminary injunctions. Arizona's opening brief was filed on August 26, 2010. Answering briefs are due by September 23, 2010. An optional reply brief will be due within 14 days after service of the answering brief. The court will hear arguments the first week of November, in San Francisco, California.

The Preliminary Injunction issued under the Order continues to be in effect, and County Counsel will continue to monitor the status of such litigation and report to your Board any further developments as they occur.

#### Departmental Review of Contracts with Arizona-based Businesses

On June 25, 2010, this Office issued instructions to departments to identify the exemption criteria and the process for departments to seek exemptions. Departments were instructed to coordinate the review of the applicable contracts with their respective deputy County Counsels, and to forward their justifications for exemption to their CEO budget analysts for review and approval of the exemption.

This Office has worked with departments to finalize the following lists of Arizona-based businesses:

- Contracts to be considered for termination with prior written notice to contractor. Terminating such contracts would not appear to result in a significant negative operational or financial impact to the County; and
- Contracts that have been identified as qualifying for an exemption from the Board's directive to terminate. This determination is based on the criteria

Each Supervisor  
September 1, 2010  
Page 3

identified in the June 25, 2010, memo, and on justifications provided by departments.

#### Termination of Contracts with Arizona-based Businesses

As previously noted, your Board's June 1, 2010, motion indicated that the Board's "directive shall be lifted upon the suspension or repeal of SB 1070." Given the court's preliminary injunction to prevent Arizona from implementing major portions of Assembly Bill 1070, this Office will continue to hold in abeyance the termination process for Arizona-based contracts pending a legal resolution of this matter. Likewise, based on the uncertainty regarding the current litigation, County Counsel has deferred formalizing a contract termination process.

County Counsel will continue to monitor the status of this litigation. When significant events occur, we will advise your Board.

If you have any questions, or require further information on this matter, please contact Ellen Sandt, Deputy Chief Executive Officer at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:BC:ES  
GS:cg

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors  
County Counsel  
Internal Services





# County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

September 9, 2010

To: Supervisor Gloria Molina, Chair  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "W. T. Fujioka", is written over the printed name and title.

## MONTHLY REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES – AUGUST 2010

On June 24, 2010, this Office issued a memo to your Board identifying the criteria that we will use when assessing whether or not the County will make an exemption regarding any Arizona-based contracts or purchase orders.

There were no new exemptions made during the month of August 2010. This Office will continue to report to your Board on any exemptions made in the form of a monthly report, unless there are no exemptions to report.

If you have any questions, please have your staff contact Ellen Sandt, Deputy Chief Executive Officer at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:BC:ES:  
LG:cg

c: County Counsel  
Executive Office, Board of Supervisors  
Internal Services

K:\Board Memos\CEO Board Memos Word\09.09.10 Monthly Report On Contracts With Arizona-Based Companies-August 2010.Docx

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**



# County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

October 7, 2010

To: Supervisor Gloria Molina, Chair  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

## MONTHLY REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES – SEPTEMBER 2010

On June 24, 2010, this Office issued a memo to your Board identifying the criteria that we will use when assessing whether or not the County will make an exemption regarding any Arizona-based contracts or purchase orders. As stated in our memo, this Office will continue to report to your Board on any exemptions made in the form of a monthly report unless otherwise directed.

Attached is the report for September 2010, which contains one purchase order that met the criteria for an exemption during the month of September 2010. This report excludes the contracts and purchase orders that were exempted during the month of July, which were previously reported in a memo dated August 6, 2010. This Office will refrain from issuing a monthly memo in the event that there are no Arizona-based contracts or purchase orders for that month, as was the case for August 2010.

If you have any questions, please have your staff contact Ellen Sandt, Deputy Chief Executive Officer at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:BC:ES  
GS:LG:cg

Attachment

c: County Counsel  
Executive Office, Board of Supervisors

K:\Board Memos\CEO Board Memos Word\10.07.10 Monthly Rpt On Contracts With Arizona-Based Companies-Sept 2010.Docx

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**

## Exemptions For Arizona-Based Contracts --September 2010

<i>Department</i>	<i>Name of Contract</i>	<i>Services Provided or Supplies Purchased</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Reason(s) for Exemption/Termination</i>
<b>Sheriff</b>	<b>GPS Intel, LLC</b>	Covert Track Stealth GPS Trackers	Purchase of goods	<p>Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies</p> <p>If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care</p> <p>Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended</p>



WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

County of Los Angeles  
**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE**

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

5-1 of  
6/1/10

December 8, 2010

To: Mayor Michael D. Antonovich  
Supervisor Gloria Molina  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

**MONTHLY REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES –  
NOVEMBER 2010**

On June 24, 2010, this Office issued a memo to your Board identifying the criteria that we will use when assessing whether or not the County will make an exemption regarding any Arizona-based contracts or purchase orders. As stated in our memo, this Office will continue to report to your Board on any exemptions made in the form of a monthly report unless otherwise directed.

Attached is the report for November 2010, which contains approved contracts that met the criteria for an exemption during the month of November 2010. This report excludes the contracts and purchase orders that were previously identified, exempted, and reported. This Office will refrain from issuing a monthly memo in the event that there are no Arizona-based contracts or purchase orders for that month, as was the case in August and October of 2010.

If you have any questions, please have your staff contact Ellen Sandt, Deputy Chief Executive Officer at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:EFS:  
GS:LG:cg

c: County Counsel  
Executive Office, Board of Supervisors

K:\Board Memos\12.08.10 Monthly Report On Contracts With Arizona-Based Companies-November 2010.Docx

## Exemptions For Arizona-Based Contracts -- November 2010

#	Department	Name of Contract	Services Provided or Supplies Purchased	Action	Reason(s) for Exemption/Termination
1	Public Library	Digital Multifunction Devices (Black & White), Value Add Stations and Copier Controllers with Card Meter Systems, Inc.	Maintenance and money collection services for public-use copiers at all community libraries.	Exemption for existing contract	Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies
2	Internal Services	World Communication Center	Prepaid airtime for emergency service by Fire Dept.	Approval of new contract	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies
3	Internal Services	American Refrigeration Supplies	Refrigerants	Approval of new contract	Contract is required by law to be awarded to the lowest prices responsible and responsive bidder



WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

## County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

May 2, 2011

To: Mayor Michael D. Antonovich  
Supervisor Gloria Molina  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

### **STATUS UPDATE ON CONTRACTS AND INVESTMENTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES AND SENATE BILL 1070**

On June 1, 2010, your Board adopted a motion, as recommended by Supervisors Molina and Yaroslavsky, to oppose Arizona's Senate Bill (SB) 1070 related to the enforcement of federal immigration laws. As part of your Board's motion, our Office issued a memo to all department heads stating effective immediately, departments must suspend any County business travel to the State of Arizona unless authorized by this Office under the terms of the Board motion. The memo further instructed County departments to refrain from entering into new or amended contracts to purchase goods or services from any company based or headquartered in Arizona. Exceptions to this restriction may include situations that are legally impermissible or impractical, result in significant additional cost to the County, or are pre-approved by this Office. Other portions of the motion dealt with legislative, legal, and investment strategies to oppose Arizona SB 1070.

In the Board motion, there was a condition that the directive shall be lifted upon the suspension or repeal of SB 1070.

### **Background of SB 1070**

SB 1070 was originally scheduled to go into effect on July 29, 2010, but before that date, a number of lawsuits were filed seeking a preliminary injunction preventing the law from going into effect. These cases were consolidated and heard before a single federal judge, Susan Bolton, of the U.S. District Court (Court) for the District of Arizona. A majority of the cases were dismissed by Judge Bolton, but in one of the suits filed by

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

***Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only***

Each Supervisor  
May 2, 2011  
Page 2

the Attorney General of the United States on behalf of the federal government, a preliminary injunction against implementation of SB 1070 was granted. This case was treated as a priority by the Court, with the deferral of the remaining cases pending appellate actions.

On July 29, 2010, the State of Arizona appealed the preliminary injunction decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and on April 11, 2011, the Ninth Circuit upheld Judge Bolton's injunction against the key provisions of SB 1070. The federal government argued to the Ninth Circuit that under the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution, it alone had the right to set immigration policy, and that by trying to pass its own immigration policy in the form of SB 1070, Arizona was overstepping its boundaries. A majority of a three-judge panel of the Ninth Circuit agreed and upheld the lower court's injunction.

#### **Current Status**

In light of the foregoing status of the above-referenced litigation and the specific directions set forth in your Board's motion, the directive shall be suspended and will remain so until either: (a) the injunction is overturned by a full eleven member panel of the Ninth Circuit or the United States Supreme Court, at which point the County will resume its original position and institute a ban on Arizona-based or headquartered companies, or (b) the injunction is made permanent by the Supreme Court, in effect repealing SB 1070 and permanently lifting the ban directed under your Board's motion.

Our Office and County Counsel will continue to monitor this litigation and will inform your Board of any developments as they occur. If you have any questions, please have your staff contact Ellen Sandt, Deputy Chief Executive Officer at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov), or Manuel Valenzuela, Assistant County Counsel at (213) 974-1835 or via email at [mvalenzuela@counsel.lacounty.gov](mailto:mvalenzuela@counsel.lacounty.gov).

WTF:EFS:  
GS:LG:cg

c: All Department Heads  
Chief Deputies  
Administrative Deputies



# County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

September 6, 2012

To: Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky, Chairman  
Supervisor Gloria Molina  
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

## REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES

On June 1, 2010, the Board adopted a motion, as recommended by Supervisors Molina and Yaroslavsky to oppose Arizona's Senate Bill 1070 related to the enforcement of federal immigration laws. Subsequently, County departments have refrained from entering new or amended contracts to purchase goods or services from companies based or headquartered in Arizona, except in cases where it were legally impermissible or impractical, or resulted in significant additional costs to the County. Our Office has granted exemptions to the Arizona-based contractors and vendors that meet certain criteria outlined in a memo dated June 24, 2010.

Attached is the report which contains information on purchase orders and a subscription renewal that met the criteria for an exemption in July and August 2012. Our Office will continue to report to the Board on any exemptions made regarding contracts or purchase orders with Arizona-based companies on a quarterly basis, except in the event there were no exemptions made.

If you have any questions, please have your staff contact Ellen Sandt at (213) 974-1186 or via email at [esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:esandt@ceo.lacounty.gov) or Gevork Simdjian at (213) 893-9736 or via email at [gsimdjian@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:gsimdjian@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:EFS:  
LG:cg

### Attachments

c: All Department Heads  
Chief Deputies  
Administrative Deputies

K:\Board Memos\CEO Board Memos Word\09.06.12 Report On Contracts With Arizona Based Companies.Docx

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**



Exemption For Arizona-Based Contracts - July and August 2012							
#	Department	Name of Contract	Contract # or Solicitation # (if applicable)	Services Provided or Supplies Purchased	Action	Reason(s) for Exemption/Termination	Notes or Comments
1	Public Library	Burgeon Group, LLC	TBD	Interactive early literacy learning environments (interactive panels, literacy nooks and kiosks) designed exclusively for libraries.	Purchase of goods	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies	
2	Internal Services	DIGITAL RADIO SYSTEMS	RFB-IS-12201681	DIGITAL RADIO SYSTEM MOTOROLA	Purchase of goods	Contract is required by law to be awarded to the lowest prices responsible and responsive bidder  Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies	
3	Public Works	AZ GPS Subscription - Monthly Real Time Network Data Service	RON-PW-13000169	Subscription for Real-time GPS network data service, which provides Global Position System solutions to DPW's existing Survey Mapping & Property Management survey equipment. The data is generated by a network system using software from the same manufacturer of the department's existing equipment. The product is proprietary and is only available from AZ GPS. They are the service provider of the Trimble VRS network solution data using GNSS within the geographical area of Los Angeles County.	Other (please state below)  Approval for renewal of subscription service	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies	



# County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

**WILLIAM T FUJIOKA**  
Chief Executive Officer

July 24, 2013

To: Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas, Chairman  
Supervisor Gloria Molina  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

## REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES

On June 1, 2010, the Board adopted a motion, as recommended by Supervisors Molina and Yaroslavsky, to oppose Arizona's Senate Bill (SB) 1070 related to the enforcement of immigration laws. Subsequently, County departments have refrained from entering new or amended contracts to purchase goods or services from companies based or headquartered in Arizona, except in cases where it were legally impermissible or impractical, or resulted in significant additional costs to the County. Our Office has granted exemptions to the Arizona-based contractors and vendors that meet criteria outlines in a memo dated June 24, 2010.

Attached is the report which contains information on purchase orders, new contracts, and extended contracts that met the criteria for an exemption during the second quarter of 2013. Our Office will continue to report to the Board on any new exemptions made regarding contracts or purchase orders with Arizona-based companies on a quarterly basis, except in the event where no exemptions were made or unless otherwise instructed by the Board.

If you have any questions, please contact Gevork Simdjian at (213) 893-9736 or at [gsimdjian@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:gsimdjian@ceo.lacounty.gov) or Lana Ghil at (213) 974-4134 or at [lghil@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:lghil@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:GS  
LG:cg

Attachment

c: All Department Heads  
Chief Deputies  
Administrative Deputies

## Exemptions For Arizona-Based Contracts --2nd Quarter" 2013

#	Department	Name of Contract/Vendor	Contract # or Solicitation # (if applicable)	Services Provided or Supplies Purchased	Action	Reason(s) for Exemption/Termination
1	Assessor	Brava Software Maintenance/ Informative Graphics Corp.	PO# 13323066	Annual Software Support and Updates for a component of Personal Property Imaging Systems	Extension of existing contract	Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies
2	Beaches and Harbors	National Academy of Sports Medicine	N/A	Personal Trainer Certification Classes	Approval of new contract	Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies
3	Chief Executive Officer	Insight Public Sector	PO# 13753345	Image Capture Software	Purchase of goods	Lowest cost for competitively bid contracts or cost is a major consideration in an RFP for service contracts
4	District Attorney	Taser International Inc.	DO-DA-13621119- 1	Purchase order for 18 ea Magazine Extended Digital Power Taser Batteries #26701.	Purchase of goods	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County
			PD-DA-13713789- 1	Purchase order for 2 boxes each Target Front and Back Taser Conductive Metallic Paper #80001 & #80000	Purchase of goods	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County
5	Regional Planning	Informative Graphics Corp.	PD-RP-13737783- 1	Annual maintenance renewal for Brava Enterprise for Documentum, a module of the PALMS Proof of Concept project.	Extension of existing contract	Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended
		Lumension	PD-RP-13721804- 1	Support and Maintenance for Regional Planning's integrated patch management solution.	Extension of existing contract	Critical services will be interrupted if contract is not awarded or extended
6	Public Library	Awful Library Books	N/A	Speakers for our annual Staff Training Day	They will do a presentation on library weeding and its importance	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County The speakers possess a unique knowledge of the subject and have trained many library systems throughout the country. They write a website on the subject: <a href="http://awfullibrarybooks.org">awfullibrarybooks.org</a>



WILLIAM T FUJIOKA  
Chief Executive Officer

## County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 974-1101  
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

October 22, 2013

To: Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas, Chairman  
Supervisor Gloria Molina  
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky  
Supervisor Don Knabe  
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka  
Chief Executive Officer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "WTF", followed by a long horizontal stroke.

Board of Supervisors  
GLORIA MOLINA  
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS  
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY  
Third District

DON KNABE  
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH  
Fifth District

### REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA-BASED COMPANIES

On June 1, 2010, the Board adopted a motion, as recommended by Supervisors Molina and Yaroslavsky, to oppose Arizona's Senate Bill (SB) 1070 related to the enforcement of immigration laws. Subsequently, County departments have refrained from entering new or amended contracts to purchase goods or services from companies based or headquartered in Arizona, except in cases where it were legally impermissible or impractical, or resulted in significant additional costs to the County. Our Office has granted exemptions to the Arizona-based contractors and vendors that meet criteria outlines in a memo dated June 24, 2010.

Attached is the report which contains information on purchase orders, new contracts and extended contracts that met the criteria for an exemption during the third quarter of 2013. Our Office will continue to report to the Board on any new exemptions made regarding contracts or purchase orders with Arizona-based companies on a quarterly basis, except in the event where no exemptions were made or unless otherwise instructed by the Board.

If you have any questions, please contact Gevork Simdjian at (213) 893-9736 or via email at [gsimdjian@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:gsimdjian@ceo.lacounty.gov) or Lana Ghil at (213) 974-4134 or via email at [lghil@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:lghil@ceo.lacounty.gov).

WTF:SHK:GS  
LG:cg

Attachment

c: All Department Heads  
Chief Deputies  
Administrative Deputies

K:\Board Memos\CEO Board Memos Word\10.22.13 REPORT ON CONTRACTS WITH ARIZONA 3rd Quarter 2013.Docx

*"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"*

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided  
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**

## Exemptions For Arizona-Based Contracts --3rd Quarter 2013

#	Department	Name of Contract/Vendor	Contract # or Solicitation # (if applicable)	Services Provided or Supplies Purchased	Action	Reason(s) for Exemption/Termination
1	Board of Supervisors	KOLBE, CORPORTION	PD-BS-13712613, 13715181, 13715183, 13728960	Organizational and Individual Consultation Training Program and use of the company's indexes and software in identifying and utilizing staff's talents effectively.	Purchase of Services	This training is to maintain Kolbe certified specialist status. This status enables the Department to use Kolbe indexes and software to identify staff's natural talents and help staff utilize those talents productively.
2	District Attorney	Nexlog Systems	RFB-IS-13201377	Eventide Nexlog 740 Base System	Purchase of goods	Contract is required by law to be awarded to the lowest prices responsible and responsive bidder.
3	Chief Executive Office	Insight	PD-AO-13753345- 1	Software License	Purchase of goods	The vendor possesses specialized knowledge about the County's needs that cannot be replaced in an acceptable time frame or without substantial additional cost to the County.
		World at Work	PD-CB-14703789- 1	Salary budget survey reports	Purchase of goods	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies.
	Health Services	D&L Communications	RFB-IS-13260651	Television with wall mount	Purchase of goods	Contract is required by law to be awarded to the lowest prices responsible and responsive bidder.
4	Public Health	Mobile Mini	Q13012-00109 Q13012-0016	20' Mobile Mini Storage Container w/Rails and Brackets Standard Door, Mode# 20ZK 127158	Extension of existing contract	Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies.
		Sunquest	Q24107	Lab informaton system/holds all tests that are ordered and all results.	Extension of existing contract	Additional cost, time and resources are required to re-solicit the services or supplies.
5	Public Library	Burgeon Group, LLC	N/A	Interactive early literacy learning environments (like interactive panels, literacy nooks and kiosks) designed exclusively for libraries.	Purchase of goods	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies.
6	Public Works	Detection Instruments Corp.	PDRQ 14503740	Odalog Low Range Calibration	Purchase of goods	If contract is not awarded, there will be an impact on public health or safety, mental health or patient care
		Allen Associates, Inc.	RQN 14010792	Trimble R8 Extended Warranty	Purchase of goods	Contractor is a sole source or there are no other qualified bidders from other states who provide the required services or supplies.